

CONGRESS LE MALATTIE INFETTIVE DEL MIGRANTE E DEL VIAGGIATORE DESTINATION Cona - Ferrara LOCATION Nuovo Arcispedale S. Anna 18 novembre 2016 PresiDENT Prof. Carlo Contini

Tubercolosi e Screening tra i Migranti

Delia Goletti Unità di Ricerca Traslazionale, INMI Ferrara, 18 novembre, 2016



National Institute for Infectious Diseases (INMI) L. Spallanzani, Rome, Italy

- HIV: 5,500-6,000
- HCV: 1,500-2,000
- HBV: 800-1,000
- Active TB: 280-300, LTBI: 200-300



Ebola: 2 cases.



Outpatient Clinic of Pneumology





Translational Research Unit







Infectious spread of *M. tuberculosis* and resulting disease





Wlodarska et al. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 2015

Tuberculosis transmission and progression to active disease from latent infection





Small PM et al, N Engl J Med, 2001

Existence of Mtb inside the granuloma



Solid prevail during LTBI

Fibrotic wall. Outer ring of lymphocytes. In the center mononuclear phagocytes, fibroblasts and DCs.

Mtb: dormancy stage with low metabolic activity



Necrotic Early stage of TB infection

The center becomes necrotic, composed of solid cell detritus, hypoxic.

↓

Mtb: it starts replicating and becomes metabolically active

Continuum



Caseous

End stage of severe TB

The center liquefies: cavity formation (neutrophil) High oxygen content . The caseous material is a source of nutrient.

Mtb: dissemination and transmission







Suspect of active TB

ANAMNESIS

- Information regarding TB risks:
 - origin,
 - Contact with smear positive TB cases,
 - immune suppression (HIV, biological therapy, transplants)
- CLINIC
 - General symptoms
 - Specific symptoms (based on the localization)

IMAGES

- Images indicative of active TB
- MICROBIOLOGY









Suspect of LTBI

ANAMNESIS

- Information regarding TB risks:
 - origin,
 - Contact with smear positive TB cases,
 - immune suppression (HIV, biological therapy, transplants)
- CLINIC. To exclude:
 - General symptoms
 - Specific symptoms (based on the localization)
- IMMUNE-BASED TESTS
 - TST and/or IGRA
- IMAGES. To exclude specific active lesions:











Worldwide LTBI: size of the problem







Limitations of the TST





Reagent:

- Purified protein derivative (PPD) commonly shared among different Mycobacteria (*M.tuberculosis, BCG and atypical mycobacteria*)
- Variability:
 - Reproducibility in giving the test
 - Subjectivity in reading the test
- Logistics
 - Repeat visit needed
 - 3 days before result





TST does not distinguish among all these different clinical situations



IGRAs: tests for LTBI diagnosis





QuantiFERON TB Gold In tube

- Nil (negative control)
- RD1 peptides (*M. tuberculosis-specific antigens*)
- Mitogen (positive control)

Test Result	Nil	RD1 peptides (<i>Mtb-</i> specific Antigen)	Mitogen (PHA)
		Cut-off: ≥0.35 UI/ml	Cut-off: ≥0.5 UI/ml
Indeterminate	-	_	_
Negative	-	—	+
Positive	-	+	+

















- Nil
- TB1 (TB Antigen tube of the QuantiFERON TB GOLD In tube)
- TB2 (additional peptides in which there are epitopes recognized by the CD8)
- Mitogen









The global burden of TB in 2015



Estimated number of cases Estimated number of deaths

10.4 million 1 m in children (9.6%) 3.5 m in women (33.6%)

HIV-associated TB

All forms of TB

1.2 million (11%)

1.4 million

0.17 million in children (12%)0.35 million in women (25%)

400,000 (28.5%)

Multidrug-resistant TB

480,000 (4.6%) and 100,000 RFP resistant

190,000 (13.5%)





WHO report, 2016

Estimated TB incidence rate in 2015





WHO report, 2016





M. tuberculosis evolution





Galagan, Nature Genetics, 2014

M. tuberculosis evolutionary scenario (out of Mesopotamia)





Wirth T, Plos Pathogens, 2008

The global population structure and geographical distribution of *M. tuberculosis*





Gagneux et al, PNAS, 2006

Association between Mtb lineages and ethnic groups underscore the continuous adaptive process between Mtb and its host





Comas I, Nat Genetics, 2013

Evolution of *M. tuberculosis* **in modern age**

- Do the strains belonging to different phylogeographic lineages show differences in terms of pathogenicity, virulence, transmissibility, ability to acquire determinants of drug resistance?
- Is the ongoing TB pandemic stable?
- What will be the impact in the future?



Figure 2: Global phylogeography of M tuberculosis Dots indicate the dominant lineage in country as sampled in Gagneux et al^{#6} with additional data from Filliol et al.⁴⁶ Adapted with permission from Gagneux et al,^{#6} copyright (2006) National Academy of Sciences USA.



Migrants and TB



- Tuberculosis (TB) burden in high-income countries is primarily amongst the foreign-born, migrant population
- The reasons underlying this burden are the interaction of migration from high TB burden countries and the reactivation of remotely acquire latent tuberculosis infection in the first five years after arrival
- Genotyping data suggests that there is relatively little transmission in migrant communities in the receiving country
- Methods of TB control in migrant population have historically focused on identifying active tuberculosis but the yields for this remain relatively low









Migrants and TB in Europe

- In 2014, 219,00 migrants and refugees reached Europe by land and sea
- In 2015, more than 1 million migrants and refugees reached Europe by land and sea
- In 2016, January-April, 181,673 migrants and refugees reached Europe with 1,261 deaths.
- Among those arrived by the Mediterranean see, 82% came from 10 countries, mainly:
 - Syrian Arabic Republic (43%)
 - Afghanistan (23%)
 - Iraq (14%), Pakistan (4%), Iran (4%)

Dara et al., ERJ, 2016

Percentage of tuberculosis notifications in the foreign-born for selected OECD highincome countries



Pareek et al., BMC Medicine, 2016

TB burden in migrants: why?



The TB burden observed in foreign-born individuals occurs due to one of three reasons:

- Migrants from overseas must either have active TB on arrival (very rare, 0.35%)
- Migrants have remotely-acquired latent TB infection which reactivates post-arrival (5-72%, it correlates with TB incidence in the country of origin and age)
- Migrants acquire TB, following arrival, through local transmission



Meccanismi responsabili dello sviluppo di tubercolosi nel migrante

Riattivazione dell'infezione tubercolare latente (LTBI)

- Incidenza di TB nel paese di origine
- infezione da HIV
- Diabete
- Malattie renali croniche
- emarginazione sociale
- variazioni dietetiche, malnutrizione
- stress emotivo
- alcolismo
- droga

Nuova infezione esogena

- alta prevalenza di forme tubercolari bacillifere in comunità
- precarie condizioni di vita
- cofattori (HIV, tossicodipendenza)



Schematic diagram of migration, factors determining how incident active tuberculosis occurs and methods of screening migrants







Different approaches for the migrant screening process methods

Table 3 Potential strengths and weaknesses of different migrant screening methods

	Screening methodology		
	Screening for active tuberculosis	Screening for latent tuberculosis infection	
Screening tool used	Chest x-ray	Tuberculin skin test	
		Interferon gamma release assay	
Screening location	Pre-arrival	Post-arrival	
	At arrival		
	Post-arrival		
Strengths	Able to identify active TB	Identifies latent TB before reactivation occurs	
	Able to identify infectious individuals	Can be built into community programmes	
	Can be integrated into immigration processes	Targeted screening likely to be cost-effective	
Weaknesses	Low yields for active TB	Programmatically difficult to implement	
	Uncertain cost-effectiveness (unless screening targeted)) Numbers accepting and completing treatment may be suboptimal	
	Does not identify patients with latent TB who can go on to reactivate		




Yields for active tuberculosis from previous meta-analyses

Author	Year	Yield for active tuberculosis (%)		
		Overall	Pre-arrival	At/post-arrival
Klinkenberg [19]	2009	0.35	1.21	0.31
		0.51		
Arshad [18]	2010	0.35	-	0.35
Aldridge [71]	2014	0.22	0.22	-



Pareek et al., BMC Medicine, 2016



Background TB notifications by country, EU/EEA, 2014

- 58 008 TB cases in 29 EU/EEA countries
- 12.8 per 100 000 population (range 2.5–79.7)



- < 5 per 100 000
- 5 to 9 per 100 000
- 10 to 19 per 100 000
- 20 to 49 per 100 000
- ≥ 50 per 100 000
- Not reporting





Background Towards TB elimination in EU/EEA

 With current mean annual change in the TB notification rate (-6%), the EU/EEA will achieve TB elimination by 2092.

To reach elimination by 2050, TB rates need to decline by 12% annually.





Towards TB elimination: An action framework for low-incidence countries

Priority action area

1. Ensure political commitment, funding and stewardship for planning and essential services of high quality.

2. Address the most vulnerable and hard-to-reach groups.

3. Address special needs of migrants and cross-border issues.

4. Undertake screening for active TB and LTBI in TB contacts and selected high-risk groups, and provide appropriate treatment.

- 5. Optimize the prevention and care of drug-resistant TB.
- 6. Ensure continued surveillance, programme monitoring and evaluation and case based-data management.
- 7. Invest in research and new tools.

8. Support global TB prevention, care and control.







TB screening in Europe

ORIGINAL ARTICLE TUBERCULOSIS

Tuberculosis care among refugees arriving in Europe: a ERS/WHO Europe Region survey of current practices

Masoud Dara^{1,15}, Ivan Solovic^{2,15}, Giovanni Sotgiu^{2,15}, Lia D'Ambrosio^{4,5,15}, Rosell a Centis^{4,15}, Richard Tran^{1,15}, Delia Goletti⁴, Raquel Duarte⁷, Stefano Aliberti⁹, Fernando María de Benedictis⁹, Graham Bothamley¹⁰, Tom Schaberg¹¹, Ibrahim Abubakar¹², Vitor Teixeira¹³, Brian Ward¹³, Christina Gratziou¹⁴ and Giovanni Battista Miglior¹⁴





 A questionnaire investigating screening and management practices among refugees was sent to 38 national TB programme representatives of low and intermediate TB incidence European countries/ territories of the WHO European Region.

 Out of 36 responding countries, 31 (86.1%) reported screening for active TB, 19 for LTBI, and 8 (22.2%)
 reporting outcomes of LTBI treatment.



TB screening in Europe





Dara et al, ERJ 2016

Active TB screening in migrants in Europe



Screening for TB is performed with algorithms using different combinations of :

- symptom-based questionnaires and/or
- sputum smear/culture collection and/or
- Chest radiography



Procedures if active tuberculosis is diagnosed

Procedures if active tuberculosis is diagnosed

- No: refusal of asylum: 34/36 (94.4%)
- Yes: obligation to undergo treatment: 24/36 (66.6%)
- Other: 10/36 (27.7%)
- Not applicable: 2/36 (5.5%)

Obligation to undergo treatment

Where

- Treatment in hospital: 24/36 (66.6%)
- Not applicable: 8/36 (22.2%)
- Not answered: 4/36 (11.1%)

When

- Treatment immediately started after diagnosis: 26/36 (72.2%)
- Not answered 2/36 (5.5%)
- Not applicable: 8/36 (22.2%)

Funding

- Governmental funds: 26/36 (72.2%)
- Not answered: 2/36 (5.5%)
- Not applicable: 8/36 (22.2%)



Dara et al, ERJ 2016

Screening for LTBI: Migrants and TB



To screen by TST or IGRA

- Who? Only those coming from high TB endemic countries (definition: above 150 for 100,000 inhabitants, like in UK; or above 30 for 100,000 inhabitants, like in Canada)
- After the screening, what to do? Offer a preventive therapy; ensure completion of treatment



Procedures if LTBI is diagnosed

Procedures if latent tuberculosis infection is diagnosed

- No, refusal of asylum: 20/36 (55.5%)
- Yes, obligation to undergo preventive therapy: 8/36 (22.2%)
- Other: 18/36 (50%); Not applicable: 8/36 (22.2%)

Obligation to undergo preventive therapy

Proposed to all positive for latent tuberculosis infection

- Proposed to all positive for latent tuberculosis infection: 3/36 (8.3%)
- No, proposed for specific groups and ages only: 7/36 (19.4%)
- Not applicable: 24/36 (66.6%); Not answered: 1/36 (2.7%)

Same procedure as native nationals positive for latent tuberculosis infection

- Same procedure as native nationals positive for latent tuberculosis infection: 7/36(19.4%)
- Not applicable: 24/36(66.6%); Not answered: 5/36 (13.8%)

Therapy delivery

Therapy delivered at chest/directly observed treatment/tuberculosis centres/tuberculosis

- specialists: 7/36 (19.4%); Not applicable: 23/36(63.8%); Not answered: 6/36 (16.6%)
 Funding
- Government budget: 9/36 (25%)
- Not applicable: 23/36 (63.8%); Not answered: 4/36 (11.1%)

Dara et al, ERJ 2016





ECDC guidance: Applicability for TB control in migrants















My thanks









- Giovanni Battista Migliori, Fondazione S. Maugeri IRCCS, Tradate, Italy
- Marieke J van der Werf, eCDC, Stockholm, Sweden
- Giuseppe Ippolito, National institute for Infectious Diseases, Rome, Italy

het









