

Convegno di Gastroenterologia ed Endoscopia Digestiva

Live endoscopy and gastroenterology meeting



# Fecal Transplantation: Come e Quando

### Edoardo V. Savarino MD, PhD

Professore Associato Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Padova Università di Padova Italy



# **Disclosure of Conflict of Interests**

• Edoardo Vincenzo Savarino has served as speaker for Abbvie, Agave, AGPharma, Alfasigma, Aurora Pharma, CaDiGroup, Celltrion, Dr Falk, EG Stada Group, Fenix Pharma, Fresenius Kabi, Galapagos, Janssen, JB Pharmaceuticals, Innovamedica/Adacyte, Malesci, Mayoly Biohealth, Omega Pharma, Pfizer, Reckitt Benckiser, Sandoz, SILA, Sofar, Takeda, Tillots, Unifarco; has served as consultant for Abbvie, Agave, Alfasigma, Biogen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celltrion, Diadema Farmaceutici, Dr. Falk, Fenix Pharma, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, JB Pharmaceuticals, Merck & Co, Nestlè, Reckitt Benckiser, Regeneron, Sanofi, SILA, Sofar, Synformulas GmbH, Takeda, Unifarco; he received research support from Pfizer, Reckitt Benckiser, SILA, Sofar, Unifarco, Zeta Farmaceutici

# Gut Microbiota as a Superorganism

The **human microbiota** consists of the 10-100 trillion symbiotic microbial cells harbored by each person, primarily bacteria in the gut; the human microbiome consists of the genes these cells harbor





Before the advent of molecular biology, only 4 phyla were known: <u>Actinobacteria</u> (Bifidobaterium) <u>Proteobacteria</u> (E.coli), <u>Firmicutes</u> (Ruminococcus, Clostridium, Lactobacillus, Eubacterium, Faecalibacterium, Roseburia) and <u>Bacteroides</u> (Bacteroides, Prevotella, Xylanibacter). Today we know that 30 different phyla coexist: Verrucomicrobia (Akkermansia muciniphila, specialized in the degradation of mucus)

## Gut Microbiota as a Superorganism, but.....



# What is EUBIOSIS?

Eubiosis is the healthy relationship among commensal microbes of the gut

# **COMPOSITION**

- Richness
- Relative Abundance
- Diversity

# **FUNCTION**

 Microbiota's effect on host health

Never forget the clinic!

# **From EUBIOSIS to DYSBIOSIS**

## Healthy microbiota



Diet & Lifestyle Drugs Systemic disorders Stressful events

## Dysbiosis (Loss of eubiosis)



# **Microbiota Composition and Parkinson's Disease**

Microbiota from PD patients induce increased  $\alpha$ -synuclein ( $\alpha$ Syn)-mediated motor deficits, often resulting in motor dysfunction





# Gut Microbiota Regulate Alzheimer's Disease Pathologies and Cognitive Disorders via Poly-Unsaturated Fatty Acid-associated Neuroinflammation



A complex gut microbiome is required for behavioural defects, microglia activation and AD pathologies, the gut microbiome contributes to pathologies in an AD mouse model and that dysbiosis of the human microbiome might be a risk factor for AD.

# **Microbiota Composition and Rheumatoid Arthritis**

 $_{\odot}$  16S sequencing on 114 stool samples from RA patients and controls

o Prevotella copri strongly correlates with disease in new-onset untreated rheumatoid arthritis (NORA)



• Anti-GNS/FLNA abs levels correlate with *Prevotella copri* Ab responses

Scher JU, et al. Elife. 2013 Nov 5;2:e01202

## Decreased Butyric acid-producing Bacteria in Gut Microbiota of Children with Egg Allergy



FIGURE 2 Composition of gut microbiota and abundance of BAPB and regulatory T cells in children with food allergy. Gut microbiota representation of (A) a group or (B) an individual sample. (C) The relative abundance of BAPB was significantly lower in the FA group (*p* • 0.013). (D) The median percentage of regulatory T cells in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells in the FA group was lower than the reference range. The central horizontal line represents the median value. The edges represent the minimum and maximum values. \**p* < 0.05. FA, food allergy; HC, healthy control; BAPB, butyric acid-producing bacteria

This study revealed that children with egg allergies have less butyric acid-producing bacteria and tendentially fewer circulating Treg cells

## Intestinal Microbiota and Relapse After Hematopoietic-Cell Transplantation



N=541 patients admitted for allo-HCT with a 2-year follow-up

# Microbiota as Predictor of Mortality in Allogeneic Hematopoietic-Cell Transplantation



- Higher diversity of intestinal microbiota was associated with a lower risk of death in independent cohorts
- Subgroup analyses identified an association between lower intestinal diversity and higher risks of transplantation-related death and death attributable to graft-versus-host disease
- Baseline samples obtained before transplantation already showed evidence of microbiome disruption, and lower diversity before transplantation was associated with poor survival

# Identification of an Intestinal Microbiota Signature Associated With Severity of Irritable Bowel Syndrome



| Severe IBS                         | Healthy  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Low microbial richness             | High microbial richness                              |
| Low CH <sub>4</sub> exhaled        | High CH₄ exhaled                                     |
| Bacteroides<br>enterotype enriched | Clostridiales and Prevotella<br>enterotypes enriched |

# Differences in Gut Microbiota in Patients With vs Without Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Systematic Review



| WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW  |
|--|
| BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT   |
| Altering the intestinal microbiota has been proposed as a treatment for inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), but there are no established associations between specific microbes and IBD.  |
| NEW FINDINGS   |
| In a systematic review, we found the bacteria<br>Christensenellaceae, Coriobacteriaceae, and<br><i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> to be decreased in patients<br>with Crohn's disease compared to controls, whereas<br><i>eubacterium rectale</i> and Akkermansia were decreased in<br>patients with ulcerative colitis. |
| LIMITATIONS  |
| There was heterogeneity in methods of microbe<br>assessment among the studies, as well as possible bias<br>in either selection of controls or comparability of<br>demographic data. No study fulfilled the quality criteria<br>for all relevant domains  |
| IMPACT   |
| These findings provide guidance for design of future<br>studies of individual bacterial species or genera<br>associated with IBD and microbe-based treatments.   |

# Gut Microbial Metabolism of 5-ASA Diminishes its Clinical Efficacy in Inflammatory Bowel Disease



#### Meetha RS, et al. Nat Med. 2023 Mar;29(3):700-709

# **Microbiota Composition and Colo-Rectal Cancer**

CRC-associated microbiota profiles differ from those in healthy subjects and are linked with distinct mucosal gene-expression profiles. Compositional alterations in the microbiota are not restricted to cancerous tissue and differ between distal and proximal cancers



## **Microbiota Composition and Liver Diseases**

Various liver disorders such as alcoholic liver disease, non-alcoholic liver disease and primary sclerosing cholangitis have been associated with an altered microbiome.





# **Rationale of Microbiota Modulation**



## FMT As Treatment of Many Intestinal and Extraintestinal Diseases

**Faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT)** involves the engraftment of the gut microbiota of healthy individuals into diseased recipients to reconstitute a normal intestinal microbial composition



AOO-ISS - 29/12/2021 - 0045754 Class: CNT 00.00

Dott. Giuseppe Dal Ben Dott. Michele Tessarin Prof. Fabio Farinati

Dott.ssa Valeria Besutti Dott.ssa Sonia Facchin Dott.ssa Manuela Sciro Dott. Michele Cognolato Dott.ssa Romilda Cardin Dott. Brigida Barberio Dott.ssa Fabiana Zingone Prof. Andrea Crisanti Prof.ssa Annamaria Cattelan Dott. Marco Trevenzoli Prof. Ignazio Castagliulolo Prof. Edoardo V. Savarino





Prof. Edoardo Savarino UOC Gastroenterologia Azienda Ospedale-Università Padova Padova Prof. Fabio Farinati Direttore UOC Gastroenterologia Azienda Ospedale-Università Padova Padova

**Direzione Generale** Azienda Ospedale-Università Padova Padova

**Direzione Sanitaria** Azienda Ospedale-Università Padova Padova

Centro Riferimento Regionale Trapianti Veneto Dr. Giuseppe Feltrin

#### Oggetto: autorizzazione alla partecipazione al Programma Nazionale sul Trapianto di Microbiota Fecale umano (FMT)

#### Gentile Prof. Savarino,

in seguito alla domanda di adesione al Programma Nazionale FMT, in considerazione della visita ispettiva effettuata in sede in data 14.12.2021, relativa alla valutazione della conformità ai requisiti tecnico organizzativi previsti, ed in relazione alla documentazione integrativa ricevuta in data 28.12.2021 in risposta alle osservazioni emerse nel corso della verifica stessa

C F. 80211730587

Istituto Superiore di Sanità Centro Nazionale Trapiant

Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 - Roma (I) Telefono: + 39 06 49904040 - 4041 - 4045 Partita I.V.A. 03657731000 Fax: 06 49904101 e-mail: ont@iss.it PEC: ont@pec.iss.it





#### il Centro Nazionale Trapianti autorizza

la partecipazione dell'Azienda Ospedale-Università Padova al Programma Nazionale sul Trapianto di Microbiota Fecale umano (FMT) secondo le indicazioni previste dal Programma stesso.

Si richiede, prima dell'inizio dell'attività trapiantologica con il prodotto congelato, di finalizzare la validazione della procedura di congelamento del FMU, comprensiva della conta delle CFU/ml (specie anaerobie e aerobie) a tutti gli intervalli temporali di utilizzo del prodotto decongelato, come previsto dal Programma Nazionale.

Si rappresenta che i dati relativi a tutti i pazienti trattati dovranno essere inviati al CNT. A tal proposito invitiamo a segnalare la persona di riferimento per questa attività che verrà contattata dal Sistema Informativo Trapianti (SIT) per le credenziali di accesso al sistema online di raccolta dati.

Si ricorda, infine, che eventuali reazioni/eventi avversi gravi andranno segnalati entro 48 ore tramite i moduli allegati a: cnt@iss.it, benedetta.mazzanti@iss.it, mariachiara.destefano@iss.it.

Con distinti saluti,

Il Direttore del Centro Nazionale Trapianti Dr. Massimo Cardillo

Noul-11

Istituto Superiore di Sanità Centro Nazionale Trapianti

Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 – Roma (I) Partita I.V.A. 03657731000 C.F. 8021173058

Telefono: + 39 06 49904040 - 4041 - 4045 Fax: 06 49904101 e-mail: cnt@iss.it PEC: cnt@i www.trapianti.salute.gov.it





RICONOSCIMENTO DEL CENTRO DI RIFERIMENTO REGIONALE PER LO SVILUPPO E L'APPLICAZIONE DEL TRAPIANTO DI MICROBIOTA INTESTINALE



# **Evidence for Different Indications of FMT in 2022**

|                                 | Metanalyses | RCTs  | Open label trials | Case series/reports | Efficacy data   | Used in clinical practice |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| C. difficile infection          | +++         | +++++ | ++++              | ++++                | Outstanding     | YES                       |
| Ulcerative colitis              | +           | +++   | +++               | +++                 | Promising       | NO                        |
| Hepatic encefalopathy           |             | +     |                   | +                   | Quite promising | NO                        |
| Metabolic syndrome              | +           | +++   |                   | +                   | Quite promising | NO                        |
| Crohn's disease                 |             | +     | ++                | +                   | Poor            | NO                        |
| IBS                             | +           | ++++  | ++                | +                   | Quite promising | NO                        |
| Multi-resistant infections      |             | +     | ++                | ++                  | Quite promising | NO                        |
| Autism                          |             |       | +                 | +                   | Poor            | NO                        |
| GVHD                            |             |       |                   | +                   | Poor            | NO                        |
| Chemotherapy-dependent diarrhea |             | +     | +                 | +                   | Quite promising | NO                        |
| Boost of ICI response           |             |       | +                 |                     | Quite promising | No                        |

# **FMT in Clostridioides difficile Infection**

- ✓ Gram + bacterium
- ✓ spore-forming
- Resistant to common sterilization technique Executive S
- ✓ Cause 1 \ 5 of diarrhea associated with antik
- $\checkmark$  It can produce toxins

## $\checkmark$ 60% of the isolated strains are MI



## ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE THREATS IN THE UNITED STATES, 2013



# **FMT in Clostridioides difficile Infection**

• FMT recommended as a highly effective and safe treatment option for both mild and severe recurrent CDI. Its implementation in clinical practice is recommended

• FMT can be considered as a treatment orption for refractory CDI

Quality of evidence: high

Strenght of recommandation : strong

# **Outstanding Efficacy of FMT in rCDI**

| Year | 1st auth  | Design                    | CDI Cure    |
|------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 2013 | Van nood  | RCT (FMT vs vancomycin)   | 94%         |
| 2013 | Kassam    | Metanalysis               | 89.7%       |
| 2014 | Cammarota | Systematic Review         | 87%         |
| 2015 | Cammarota | RCT (FMT vs vancomycin)   | 90%         |
| 2015 | Drekonja  | Systematic Review         | 85%         |
| 2016 | Lee       | RCT (fresh vs frozen)     | 85% vs 83%  |
| 2016 | Kelly     | RCT (donor vs autologous) | 91% vs 62%  |
| 2017 | Quraishi  | Metanalysis               | 92%         |
| 2018 | laniro    | RCT (single vs mult. FMT) | 75% vs 100% |
| 2018 | laniro    | Metanalysis               | 93% overall |

Case Series Aas 2003 [33] Agrawal 2016 [44] Allegretti 2014 [42] Brandt 2012 [68] Costello 2015 [69] Dutta 2014 [43] Emmanuelson 2014 [70] Fischer 2016 [59] Ganc 2015 [34] Garborg 2010 [35] Hamilton 2012 [60] Kassam 2012 [61] Kelly 2012 [36] Kelly 2014 [30] Khan 2014 [62] Kronman 2015 [45] Lee 2014 [63] MacConnachle 2009 [64] Mattila 2012 [47] Patel 2013 [46] Pathak 2014 [65] Ray 2014 [37] Rohike 2010 [38] Rubin 2013 [39] Satokarl 2015 [40] Tauxe 2016 [66] Vigvari 2014 [72] Yoon 2010 [41] Youngster 2014 [28] Zainah 2015 [67] Subtotal (In2=64.82%, P=.00) RCT Allegretti 2016 [32] Cammarota 2015 (FMT arm) [23] Kao 2016 [26] Kelly 2016 (donor FMT arm) [27] Lee 2016 (Both FMT arms of RCT) [24] Van Nood 2013 (FMT arm of RCT) [22] Youngster 2014 (Both FMT arms) [71] Subtotal (In2=.00%, P=.83)

Heterogeneity between groups: P=.790 Overall (I<sup>2</sup>=58.70%, P=.00);



# FMT Cuts the Need for C. difficile-related Surgery

## **Retrospective review of 901 patients with CDI**

➤ The number of patients with this infection increased gradually over time: 54 patients were diagnosed in 2010, 116 in 2011, 200 in 2012, 212 in 2013, 268 in 2014, and 71 between January and April 2015

➢ No more surgery after the establishment of a FMT service

Relevant decrease in CDI-related mortality (surgical patients:83%; FMT patients 6%)



# FMT in Clostridioides difficile Infection in Padua

| Patient<br>code | Age | Gender | Total<br>number of<br>relapse | Risk factor<br>for relapse | Previous<br>antibiotics | Fresh or<br>frozen stools | Full<br>colonoscopy | Efficacy | Relapse<br>post-FMT |
|-----------------|-----|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1¢              | 70  | F      | 4                             | 4                          | V, M                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | YES <sup>d</sup>    |
| 2               | 46  | М      | 4                             | 0                          | V, M                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 3               | 87  | F      | 3                             | 3                          | V, F                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 4               | 69  | F      | 3                             | 2                          | V, M                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 5               | 52  | м      | 2                             | 2                          | V, F                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 6               | 77  | F      | 5                             | 2                          | V, F                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| <b>7</b> ¢      | 70  | F      | 5                             | 0                          | V, M                    | Fresh                     | YES <sup>a</sup>    | NO       |                     |
| 8               | 74  | F      | 4                             | 3                          | V, F                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | YES <sup>d</sup>    |
| 9               | 51  | F      | 4                             | 2                          | V, M                    | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 10              | 81  | F      | 3                             | 3                          | V, M, F                 | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 11              | 22  | F      | 3                             | 1                          | V                       | Fresh                     | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 12              | 86  | F      | 3                             | 3                          | V                       | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | YES <sup>d</sup>    |
| 13              | 73  | F      | 3                             | 3                          | V                       | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 14              | 77  | F      | 2                             | 2                          | V                       | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 15              | 86  | F      | 2                             | 3                          | V, F                    | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 16              | 71  | F      | 3                             | 2                          | V, M                    | Frozen                    | YESª                | NO       | NO                  |
| 17              | 63  | F      | 2                             | 2                          | V                       | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 18              | 79  | F      | 3                             | 2                          | V, M, F                 | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |
| 19              | 78  | F      | 3                             | 2                          | V, M                    | Frozen                    | NO                  | NO       | _a                  |
| 20              | 52  | F      | 2                             | 2                          | V                       | Frozen                    | YES                 | YES      | NO                  |

| C. diff. Toxin<br>Negativazation | Mean Days of<br>Hospitalization |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 85%                              | <b>7,40</b> σ 5,79              |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                 |  |  |  |  |

84%

**Reported Effectiveness** 

**14,6** σ 13,14 Days of hopitalization with Traditional Antibiotics



Barberio B, et al. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2020 Jul 29;13:1756284820934315

F, Fidaxomicin; FMT, faecal microbiota transplantation; M, Metronidazole; V, Vancomycin.

"Patients expelled the infused faecal material in the first hours following the procedure.

<sup>b</sup>Patient without subsequent follow up, due to inefficacy of FMT. <sup>c</sup>1 and 7 were the same patient, treated with FMT twice.

Signal 2 were the same patient, treated with FMT twice.

<sup>d</sup>Different relative abundance of <u>g</u>Bacteroides in donor faeces: donor of P(1):51.51%; donor of P(8): 56.61%; donor of P(12):50.82% versus a mean value of 39.43%.

# FMT in Clostridioides difficile Infection in Padua

|          | PZ     | Rialzo | zo Dolore Addome<br>Stipsi |         | Stipsi | Diarrea | Sintomi |
|----------|--------|--------|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
|          |        | Temp.  | Addome                     | Disteso |        |         | Urinari |
|          | 1      | +      |                            |         |        |         |         |
| ~        | 2      |        | +                          |         |        |         |         |
|          | 3      |        |                            |         | +      |         |         |
| $\leq$   | 4      |        |                            |         | +      |         |         |
| ~        | 5      |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
| ZF       | 6      |        | +                          | +       |        |         | +       |
|          | 7      | N      | N                          | N       | N      | N       | N       |
| <b>V</b> | 8      |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
|          | 9      |        | +                          | +       |        |         |         |
| ייינ     | 10     |        |                            | +       |        | +       |         |
| 2        | 11     |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
|          | 12     |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
| 22       | 13     |        |                            |         |        | +       |         |
|          | 14     |        | +                          |         |        |         |         |
|          | 15     |        |                            | +       |        |         |         |
| Ϋ́       | 16     | N      | N                          | N       | N      | N       | N       |
| 2        | 17     |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
|          | 18     |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
| 2/       | 19     |        |                            |         |        |         |         |
| ~        | 20     |        | +                          |         |        | +       |         |
|          | Totale | 1      | 5                          | 4       | 2      | 3       | 1       |
|          | %      | 5%     | 25%                        | 20%     | 10%    | 15%     | 5%      |
|          | % Let  | 0.57%  | 4.79 %                     | 9,3%    | 3.84 % | 14.23 % | ND      |

During Follow-UP

|    | Sintomatologia                    | Numero Casi |
|----|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| A  | bdominal Pain                     | 4           |
| IV | ′U                                | 3           |
| D  | iarrhea                           | 2           |
|    | Requiring Hospitalization         | 1           |
| Re | ecurrence C. Difficilis Infection | 3           |

Other studies

have described histologic findings consistent with MC on colonoscopic biopsies taken at the time of FMT, suggesting its pathogenesis may be associated with C. difficile rather than FMT."

# **Rationale of FMT for the Treatment of IBS**

THE PROPOSED MECHANISM OF STRESS ACTING *VIA* BRAIN-GUT AXIS AFFECTING THE ENTERIC NERVOUS SYSTEM (ENS) AND GUT MICROBIOTA THAT MAY LEAD TO DYSBIOSIS, INCREASED INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY AND FUNCTIONAL GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS (FGID).



# Efficacy of FMT for the Treatment of IBS

### Forest plot of randomised controlled trials of faecal microbiota transplantation vs placebo in irritable bowel syndrome

 • RR of IBS symptoms not improving was 0.98 (95% CI 0.58-1.66).

- Placebo capsules superior to capsules containing donor stool (RR = 1.96; 95% CI 1.19-3.20).
- FMT from donor stool delivered via colonoscopy was superior to autologous stool (RR = 0.63; 95% Cl 0.43-0.93).

|   | FMT                    |         | Placel             | 00     |                      | Risk ratio         |        | Risk rat    | tio             |
|---|------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|
| Study or Subgroup   | Events                 | Total   | Events             | Total  | Weight               | M-H, Random, 95% C | I Year | M-H, Randon | n, 95% Cl       |
| 1.1.1 FMT via oral cap  | sules                  |         |                    |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Aroniadis 2018  | 14                     | 24      | 9                  | 24     | 19.3%                | 1.56 [0.84, 2.88]  | 2018   | •+          | <b>—</b>        |
| Halkjaer 2018   | 18                     | 26      | 7                  | 26     | 18.2%                | 2.57 [1.30, 5.09]  | 2018   | -           | -               |
| Subtotal (95% CI)   |                        | 50      |                    | 50     | 37.6%                | 1.96 [1.19, 3.20]  |        | ◀           |                 |
| Total events  | 32                     |         | 16                 |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.0$   | 02; χ <sup>2</sup> = 1 | .16, d  | f = 1 ( <i>P</i> = | 0.28); | / <sup>2</sup> = 14% |                    |        |             |                 |
| Test for overall effect:  | Z = 2.67               | (P=0    | .008)              |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| 1 1 0 EMT via colonea   |                        |         |                    |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| 1.1.2 FIVIT VIA COIONOS   | сору                   | 50      | 40                 | 00     | 00.00/               | 0.00 [0.40.4.05]   | 0040   |             |                 |
| Johnsen 2018  | 22                     | 58      | 16                 | 28     | 22.0%                | 0.66 [0.42, 1.05]  | 2018   |             |                 |
| Hoister 2019<br>Subtotal (95% CI)   | 4                      | 8       | 8                  | 37     | 17.5%                | 0.56 [0.27, 1.17]  | 2019   | -           |                 |
| Total events  | 26                     | 00      | 24                 | 07     | 00.470               | 0.00 [0.40, 0.30]  |        | •           |                 |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.0$   | 20<br>20·~2 - 0        | 14 d    | ∠-+<br>f _ 1 (P _  | 0.71). | 12 - 0%              |                    |        |             |                 |
| Test for overall effect:  | Z = 2.30               | (P - 0) | 02)                | 0.71), | / = 0 /0             |                    |        |             |                 |
|   | 2 - 2.00               | (1 = 0  | .02)               |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| 1.1.3 FMT via nasojeju  | unal tube              |         |                    |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Holvoet 2018  | 21                     | 42      | 16                 | 22     | 23.0%                | 0.69 [0.46, 1.02]  | 2018   |             |                 |
| Subtotal (95% CI)   |                        | 42      |                    | 22     | 23.0%                | 0.69 [0.46, 1.02]  |        | •           |                 |
| Total events  | 21                     |         | 16                 |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Heterogeneity: Not ap   | plicable               |         |                    |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Test for overall effect:  | Z = 1.85               | (P = 0  | .06)               |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| T-1-1 (050( O))   |                        | 450     |                    | 400    | 100.00/              |                    |        |             |                 |
| Total (95% CI)  |                        | 158     |                    | 109    | 100.0%               | 0.98 [0.58, 1.66]  |        | -           |                 |
| Total events  | 79                     |         | 56                 |        |                      |                    |        |             |                 |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.2$   | $27; \chi^2 = 1$       | 7.91, 0 | dt = 4 (P)         | = 0.00 | $1);I^2 = 78$        | 3%                 | 0.01   | 0.1 1       | 10 100          |
| Test for overall effect:  | ∠ = 0.07               | (P = 0) | .94)               | 0 (0   | 0.0000               | 12 00.00/          | 0.01   |             |                 |
| rest for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 14.27$ , $dI = 2$ ( $P = 0.0008$ ); $I^2 = 86.0\%$ |                        |         |                    |        |                      |                    |        | Favours FMT | Favours Placebo |

# **Efficacy of FMT for the Treatment of UC**

#### 4 RCTs

- Clinical remission 28% vs 9% placebo (OR 3.67-95%CI 1.82-7.39, P<0.01)
- Endoscopic remission 14% vs 5% placebo (OR 2.89 95%Cl 1.07-6.74, P=0.04)

#### 14 cohort studies

Clinical remission 24%

### Marked differences between FMT working protocols



# Microbiota Transplantation Influences Immunotherapy against Epithelial Tumors

FMT of feces fromNON-RESPONDER orRESPONDERpatients in germ free mice

PD-1 treatment is more effective in mice receving feces from RESPONDER patients

so Ctri

Iso Ctrl

αPD-1

PD-1 therapy response is influenced by microbial donor characteristics

Frequency of patients with detectable A. muciniphila in their feces according to: PR: partial response SD: stable disease PD: progressed or died







#### Routy B, et al. Science. 2018 Jan 5;359(6371):91-97

## Fecal Microbiota Transplantation As a Treatment of Severe Steroid-Resistant Acute and Chronic Graft Versus Host Disease

#### INTRODUCTION

50% of the patients with gut predominant acute graft-versus-host disease (aGvHD) and chronic (c) GvHD, respond to corticosteroids.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

12 patients (26-67 years) underwent a total of 15 FMTs to decolonize the gastrointestinal tract (GI) from antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB). In all of them steroid resistant GvHD (2 patients with cGvHD and 10 with aGvHD) coexisted. All patients with aGvHD had GI tract involvement (median grade: 3), 7 had skin (median grade: 3) and 5 had liver (median grade: 1) GvHD symptoms. FMT was performed as two infusions by nasoduodenal tube of a 100g/200 ml solution of feces, on following days.

#### OUTCOMES

10/12 patients (83%) ARB decolonization was observed.

Overall response rate (ORR) in the case of aGvHD reached 58% (7/12 performed FMTs), including CR in 4/12 (33%) cases.

Median duration of response to relapse or death was 168 days (10-1080 days).

#### COMPLICATIONS

1 death in close relation with FMT (respiratory failure in the course of pneumonia), 3 septic episodes, 2 subileus episodes and one case of diarrhea.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The described results indicate that FMT may be an effective treatment option for severe GvH disease.



## Is FMT Safe?

FDA In Brief: FDA warns about potential risk of serious infections caused by multi-drug resistant organisms related to the investigational use of Fecal Microbiota for Transplantation

- On June 13, 2019, the FDA issued a safety alert concerning the risk of serious adverse reactions due to transmission of MDRO by FMT
- This was in response to transmission of an ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* strain from a feces donor to two immunocompromised recipients, with one death. For reasons not specified, the donor had not been screened for MDRO
- The FDA now requires inclusion of MDRO screening into all active and future FMT-based study protocols

• All major stool banks have implemented screening protocols to detect MDRO, without SAEs (>45000 FMTs by OpenBiome since 2012)

 Adherence to standard screening protocols used by major stool banks worldwide could have prevented these incidences

• Specific donor screening for **immunocompromised patients**?

• **Different quality control measures**, mostly adapted from laws regulating blood transfusions and/or drugs can be applied.

## **Disseminations Of FMT: Barriers**

Despite methodological improvements of working protocols (frozen feces, capsules, etc.), **FMT has not yet experienced a comparable widespread dissemination** because of **several obstacles**, including:

- ${\ensuremath{\overline{\textbf{O}}}}$  Lack of dedicated centres
- Complexity of bureaucratic steps
- Donor recruitment
- Safety monitoring

As **FMT** represents a necessary treatment option to manage recurrent CDI and save human lives, therefore **its provision should be widely and rapidly available to most centres** 

**Stool banks** may guarantee a **reliable, timely and equitable access** to FMT for recurrent CDI, disseminate FMT, drive further research

## **Stool Banks: the Time Is Now**

## Rome II consensus meeting:

## International working group:

Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Kelly CR, Mullish B, Allegretti JR, Kassam Z, Putignani L, Fischer M, Keller JJ, Costello SP, Sokol H, Kump P, Satokari R, Khan S, Kao D, Arkkila P, Kuijper EJ, Vehreschild MJGT, Pintus C, Lopetuso LR, Masucci L, Scaldaferri F, Nieuwdorp M, Kupcinskas J, Lopez Sanroman A, Khorutz A, Terveer EM, Hart A, Tilg H, Gasbarrini A

Development of statements on key issues:

- Organization of the stool bank;
- Selection and recruitment of donors
- Preparation and storage of faeces
- Quality control
- Registries & monitoring of outcomes
- Evolving role of FMT in clinical practice

- 28 experts
- GRADE System to address quality of evidence and strength of recommendation
- **Delphi process** to achieve consensus





UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA